

### WHAT OPTIONS ARE THERE?

- Current Cancun Framework, based on
  - BR/BUR
  - IAR /ICA
    - Some updating to reflect specificities of Paris Agreement
- One framework for all
- One framework for all, with flexibility and a scale to progress
  - Based on capacity and conditional to capacity building



## **CURRENT FRAMEWORK**

[The transparency framework shall be:

Option 1: Built on the existing MRV arrangements under the Convention



#### ONE SINGLE FRAMEWORK

 Option 2: [A single system with common MRV provisions applicable to all Parties from 2020 on] [All Parties commit to participating in an agreed, unified transparency system and to continuously improving transparency].



#### **ONE FRAMEWORK WITH FLEXIBILITY**

- Option 4: A common framework with common MRV provisions applicable to all Parties, built on the [experiences with the] existing MRV system [, adapted to different commitment types,] that is fit for the purpose and [offers appropriate flexibility [for the LDCs and the smallest countries with minimal emissions] [offers flexibility for [developing country Parties][Parties not included in annex X]] in terms of the level and depth of the application of the common MRV provisions [in relation to][shall include]:
  - a. [The frequency of reporting;]
  - b. [The broad categories of information to be reported [through biennial communications;]
  - c. [The consideration of reporting:

[Expert review][A technical expert review of the submitted biennial communications];

[A facilitative, multilateral process][A facilitative examination of the implementation of efforts].]]



# YET ANOTHER POSSIBILITY: SELF DIFFERENTIATION

- Option 3: Based on self-differentiation and national capacity, with Parties reporting information through existing institutions, with no backsliding, and following the procedural guidelines and provisions for monitoring, review and verification under the following tiers:
  - a. The Convention;
  - b. The Cancun Agreements;
  - c. The Kyoto Protocol.

