



WHAT THE ASSESSMENT OF INDCS COULD LOOK LIKE?

The Process does not have to be the same before and after Paris

Why?

- > Time to design and implement it
- > Resources implications

>ICA/IAR demand

Why do we need it?

- ➤ Accountability tool
- > Pressure tool
- > Setting the right precedents
- > There is a demand for it

Can get better as we learn from first round

Pre- 2020: Key elements of the Process

National consultations

Nationally intended Contributions submitted under UNFCCC using tabular format Consultation period From April 2015

 Synthesis report by the Secretariat (checking the ambition gap)

- Use of electronic bulletin board that allows Parties and stakeholders to post comments and for a Party to respond
- Space for sharing views

Revisit of the commitments by COP21

Assessment by other stakeholders

IPCC report

UNEP gap report

Post-2020

- Regular cycle of contributions
- Aggregate and individual assessment
- Equity assessment commission work to develop an ERF
- Leverage other Assessments
 - Review of implementations through MRV
 - Assessment of Support
 - UNFCCC 2013-2015 review
 - IPCC

Institutional options

- As part of IAR/ICA perhaps enhancing the process to consider issues not originally in the mandate
- A new process, outlined below

Other considerations

- A staggered approach: this means that the 192 countries may not be assessed at the same time or at the same frequency.
- Explore the possibility of regional or "group of countries" assessments
- Set up permanent teams for undertaking the various assessments

THANK YOU! Gracias!

Any further questions, contact: Yamide Dagnet, ydagnet@wri.org



ACT 2015 is supported by:



